Family Name	Obrien
Given Name	Daniel
Person ID	1285735
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Family Name	Obrien
Given Name	Daniel
Person ID	1285735
Title	Our Vision
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Navigating the website is very difficult / not user friendly and the amount of questions being asked is off putting. Many people will not air their views due to 1. not having a clue this exists & 2. The amount of questions you ask, 3. Time it takes to air your views.
Family Name	Obrien
Given Name	Daniel
Person ID	1285735
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA

Family Name	Obrien
Given Name	Daniel
Person ID	1285735
Title	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	constructed tudor grange site at spen more. This proposal goes against
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	The purpose of green belt land is to prevent urban sprawl and to prevent towns from merging. This site will further connect the towns of bury and radcliffe and it is getting even closer to merging with bolton with the already constructed tudor grange site at spen more. This proposal goes against Green Belt Policy and should at best be scaled back considerably.
Family Name	Obrien
Given Name	Daniel
Person ID	1285735
Title	JPA 8: Seedfield
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Proposed building of houses on this site is pure greed. This whole site is green belt and my children play football here. The alternate area that bury council are offering to play football is completely sub standard to what is currently on offer at Seedfield and are already football pitches and not the creation of new football pitches. You are taking kids football out of the area of walmersly which is unforgivable. I say pure greed as the football pictures could be retained and the building knock down and houses built on there. Also the site is set in a high position adjacent the river Irwell, the field currently acts a giant soak away, building 140 houses on this site will remove the site from acting as a soak away and will cause more water to directly go into the River causing future flooding down stream.
	Also the proposed offset green belt for pigs lee brook is a total tick in the box exercise and a total joke. This area has no access to the public (No paths etc) and no houses would ever be built here due to the steep gradient and being in a valley. If offset green belt is to be proposed it is should be land that is accessible to the public. Pigs lee brook does not meet the requirements requiring green belt protection because it doesnt need protecting as it could never be built on.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	build houses only on the old school. Offer proper alternate green belt offset and not just a number exercise
Family Name	Obrien
Given Name	Daniel
Person ID	1285735
Title	Bury - Green Belt Additions
Туре	Web
GBA Bury - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below	Bury GBA03 Pigs Lea Brook 1 Bury GBA05 Pigs Lea Brook 2
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The proposed offset green belt for pigs lee brook is a total tick in the box exercise and a total joke. This area has no access to the public (No paths etc) and no houses would ever be built here due to the steep gradient and being in a valley. If offset green belt is to be proposed it is should be land that is accessible to the public. Pigs lee brook does not meet the requirements requiring green belt protection because it doesnt need protecting as it could never be built on.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	The proposed offset green belt for pigs lee brook is a total tick in the box exercise and a total joke. This area has no access to the public (No paths etc) and no houses would ever be built here due to the steep gradient and being in a valley. If offset green belt is to be proposed it is should be land that is accessible to the public. Pigs lee brook does not meet the requirements requiring green belt protection because it doesnt need protecting as it could never be built on.